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Hydrogen refueling stations can exist in different configurations:

- Different size (i.e. amount of H2 stored)
- With or without on site production of H2
- Various storage and dispensing pressure
- H2 can co-exist with other fuels on the same site or not
- H2 can be supplied by pipeline or transported to the station in tube trailers
Hydrogen Refueling Stations – Why do we need an efficient process?

- 2006 - First HRS commissioned in Europe in
- 2018 ~110 stations across Europe* ~50 of those in Germany

- According to National Plans submitted under the AFID, MS are committed to build ~800 HRS by 2025

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(820~842)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200~225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Korea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fact: It took us 12 years to deploy the first 100 HRSs

Question: Can we build another 700+ in 7 years?

Answer: **Not at this rate!**
Let’s start with the conclusion

The permitting process for HRSs is long, costly, and its outcome is uncertain.

Project developers and administrations themselves lack the sufficient knowledge to navigate the requirements smoothly and without significant efforts.

This increases the costs for developers and delays the deployment of hydrogen technology.
Severity of barriers in each category

- **Gas grid issues**: Significant issues with the permitting process of hydrogen refueling stations.
- **Stationary power; fuel cells**: Permitting process long and costly. Small-scale production (i.e. localized) significantly affected.
- **Electricity grid issues for electrolysers**: Significant issues with the permitting process of hydrogen refueling stations.
- **Production of hydrogen**: 
- **Vehicles**: 
- **Hydrogen as a fuel and refueling infrastructure for mobility purposes**: 
- **Stationary Storage**: 
- **Transport and distribution of hydrogen**:
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Why does the process take so long?
Why does it take so long?

Reason 1: **Lack of clear rules and procedures:**

- Only **Germany, Denmark, the UK and the Netherlands** have rules specifically designed to regulate permitting of HRSs

- Where specific rules don’t exist, authorities **draw on both the permitting process of conventional refuelling stations as well as the regulations applicable for (industrial) H2 storage and for H2 production**.

- This method of working generates requirements well beyond those applicable to conventional stations.
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Reason 2: **Different authorities responsible:**

- **Austria** and the **UK** operate with a one-stop-shop authority, in most other countries, the various needed permits (e.g. construction, environmental, operating, Seveso etc.) will have to be obtained from different authorities (e.g. local municipality, regional decentralized authorities, fire brigade, working environment authorities, etc.). **Up to seven authorities can be involved!**

- Moreover, the **responsible authorities vary within Member States or even within regions**, depending on the territorial organisation of the countries concerned, meaning that a learning curve cannot manifest itself.

- The interpretation and demands from the responsible authorities can be different from one case to another.
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Reason 3: **Not enough administrative practice:**
- In the absence of clear rules and sufficient experience, competent authorities are forced to interpret general requirements for each request.
  - Individual risk assessments are required each time.
- Operators wishing to develop HRSs often have to engage in capacity building with competent authorities, incurring additional costs in the process.

Reason 4: **Not enough guidance provided to operators**
- Given the lack of administrative practice, operators wishing to deploy HRS’s are not provided with enough guidance on how to proceed with the procedure.
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Reason 5: **Significant requirements** on operators

- **Reasonable requirements** stemming from existing legislation at EU level

- Obligations which (may) **apply unintentionally** to hydrogen production and storage
  - Hydrogen production: same obligations irrespective of production method
  - SEA/EIA and IED obligations – (interpretation of industrial scale) – possible effect on small scale production (is it relevant?)

- **Unreasonable requirements** stemming from in-experience
What are the obligations on operators?
Why are they cumbersome?
Is there a link to EU legislation?
• The permitting requirements applicable to HRSs draw heavily on obligations established at EU level.
  • Risk Assessments (SEVESO Directive).
  • Health and Safety requirements and conformity assessment procedures, (ATEX Directive).
  • Integrated Environmental obligations, (IED)
  • Environmental Impact Assessment procedures, (SEA and EIA Directives).
  • Others obligations (with or without EU links)

• Transposed into national law.
  • Large room for discretion in application (do they apply or not / under what conditions)
  • Differences in efficiency of procedures.
Production and Storage of Hydrogen
EU level Considerations (1)

• Directives designed to regulate large scale, chemical, emission emitting industrial processes end up applying also to small scale, non-emitting processes.

• The scope of these Directives includes the production of hydrogen, irrespective of the production method.
  • production of hydrogen via electrolysis subject to the same requirements as industrial processes with a strong environmental footprint.
  • the threshold for production (i.e. industrial scale according to the IED) is unclear and places high burdens on low volume production sites (e.g. a HRS with on-site production).
• As an unintended effect, the obligations prescribed in these Directives
  • (i) severely inhibit the deployment of HRSs with on-site electrolysis production
  • (ii) increase the overall costs and time required for development of HRSs (with or without on-site production) through the imposition of complex obligations even when hydrogen is stored (and produced) in small quantities.

• Has the link between the Directives and their national implementation been lost, forgotten?
  • TO DO: Identify those requirements which are superfluous (or unintended);

• Is there “gold-plating” involved?
  • TO DO: Identify those which go beyond the intended obligations prescribed in the EU acts

• REFIT process?
Are there other restrictions which impede development?

(Spoiler: Yes)
Damaging restrictions?

**Objective:**
Hydrogen refueling should be possible at alongside other fuels, to allow the **continued use and adaptation of existing infrastructure**!

**Problem:**
Multi-Fuel HRS exist, however, imposing unreasonable safety distances and other restrictions may *de facto* limit the possibility of co-locating hydrogen in existing refueling stations, thereby making use of existing infrastructure.

**Question:**
Are restriction based on facts or unreasonable fears?
What about on site-production?
Objective: HRS should generally be allowed to be located where such plans also allow conventional refuelling stations.

Problem: On-site production of hydrogen (even when produced from processes without direct emissions such as water electrolysis) would result in the HRS being classified as an industrial activity, hence such an HRS would only be permitted in an area designated as an industrial zone*.

Why? Lack of clarity on the scope of the EIA, SEA, IED and SEVESO Directives? Definition of “industrial scale“? NACE code under which the activity falls? Lack of distinction between production methods?
Any good practice?
Good practices?

Yes 😊

- **Germany, the Netherlands and the UK** are some of the jurisdictions with the highest degree of maturity when it comes to the HRS permitting process*

- The German National Organization Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technology (NOW) has developed approval guidelines for HRS, these have been made publicly available

- **The Netherlands** and the **UK** have since then developed and published guidelines, thus providing more support to project developers seeking to build and operate HRSs.

- Even so, situation is far from perfect, as significant effort is required.
Thank you for your attention

Questions?